



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/444,335      | 11/19/1999  | GRIGORI N. ENIKOLOPOV | CSHL99-05           | 8515             |

1473 7590 02/12/2003

FISH & NEAVE  
1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS  
50TH FLOOR  
NEW YORK, NY 10020-1105

EXAMINER

SCHNIZER, RICHARD A

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

1635

DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003

30

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/444,335

Applicant(s)

Enikopolov et al

Examiner

Richard Schnizer

Art Unit

1635



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Richard Schnizer

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Ying Li

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Feb 10, 2003Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: All, generally.

Identification of prior art discussed:

Lothian C; Lendahl UAgreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:


Applicant enquired as to the possible effect on prosecution of a declaration of unexpected results. Examiner noted that unexpected results must be commensurate in scope with the claimed invention, and that Lothian et al might be relevant in this regard, inasmuch as Lothian teaches that the human and rat nestin second introns gave different expression patterns in transgenic mice.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required